

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/700,258 | 11/13/2000 | Georg Siegl | Q-61663 | 3625 | |
| 7: | 590 12/10/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas | | | RAMIREZ, RAMON O | | |
| | ania Avenue NW OC 20037-3202 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| wushington, 2 | , | | 3632 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/10/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | \wedge |
|---|---|--|---|
| At the of Aboundance | 09/700,258 | SIEGL, GEORG | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | RAMON O. RAMIREZ | 3632 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | L | dress |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note period for reply (including a total extension of time of the content of the co | Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _ | · | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | | | • |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 25 to | d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | mendment which pi or (3) a timely filed | aces the Request for |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constit final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | ute a proper reply, or a bona fide atte explanation in box 7 below). | mpt at a proper rep | oly, to the non- |
| (d) ☐ No reply has been received. | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee an from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 | 35). | | |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was | s received on (with a Certific eriod for payment of the issue fee (ar | ate of Mailing or Tond nd publication fee) s | ransmission dated set in the Notice of |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$_ | • |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n | ot been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requested. Allowability (PTO-37). | uired by, and within the three-month | period set in, the No | otice of |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | _ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Trar | nsmission dated |), which is |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire | interest, or all of |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | n attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity u | nder 37 CFR |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer seeking court review of the decision has expired and the | rence rendered on <u>21 Se<i>ptember 200</i></u> re are no allowed claims. | <u>04</u> and because the | period for |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | |
| | | | |
| | 4 | RAMON O. RAI Primary Examin Art Unit: 3632 | _ |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 22